## **EXHIBIT 4**

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
3	RICHMOND DIVISION
4	
5	
6	ePLUS, INC. : Civil Action No.
7	: 3:09CV620 vs.
8	: LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC. : January 11, 2011
9	: 
10	
11	COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF THE JURY TRIAL
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. PAYNE
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, AND A JURY
14	
15	APPEARANCES:
16	Scott L. Robertson, Esquire Michael G. Strapp, Esquire
17	Jennifer A. Albert, Esquire David M. Young, Esquire
18	Goodwin Procter, LLP 901 New York Avenue NW
19	Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20001
20	Craig T. Merritt, Esquire
	Christian & Barton, LLP
21	909 East Main Street Suite 1200 Dishward Minninis 22210 2005
22	Richmond, Virginia 23219-3095 Counsel for the plaintiff
23	
24	Peppy Peterson, RPR Official Court Reporter
25	United States District Court

1000

requisitions, and purchase order; right?

Α Yes.

- 3 There's something within the inventory control module
- 4 known as the item master; isn't that right?
- 5 Α Yes.
- 6 And the item master is a list of products within the
- 7 inventory module; correct?
- 8 Yes, a list of products within the inventory control.
- 9 So a user of this supply chain management software
- 10 solution we've been talking about -- can we call it S3 solution
- 11 for short? Are you comfortable with that?
- 12 Yes.
- This S3 software solution offered by Lawson can have an 13
- item master, a list of goods that are available from various 14
- suppliers; isn't that right? 15
- 16 Yes. It's a list of goods that the customers want to
- purchase. They can come from various sources. 17
- 18 And so for each item in the item master, you have a number
- 19 of data fields associated with that item; isn't that right?
- 20 Α Yes.
- 21 So you can have a stock unit of measure, for example? Q
- 22 Α Yes.
- 23 You can have manufacturer information? Q
- 24 Α Yes.
- Manufacturer name? 25

- 1 Α Yes.
- 2 Vendor name? Q
- 3 Α No.
- 4 Can't have a vendor name in it? Q
- 5 Not in the item master itself.
- Where would that vendor information be located; in a 6 7 vendor table?
- 8 The vendor name is in the vendor table.
- 9 Okay. Thank you. Isn't one of the data fields you can
- 10 associate with an item, is a vendor item number?
- 11 Yes. You can associate a vendor item number.
- 12 And this vendor item number is a catalog number that can
- 13 be used to order from a supplier; correct?
- Yes. It's the catalog number to order from that 14 Α
- particular vendor. 15
- 16 This Lawson S3 procurement product we've been talking
- about has the capability of doing a vendor catalog load; isn't 17
- 18 that right?
- 19 It has the capability of loading items that were provided
- by a vendor into the item master. 20
- 21 It has the capability of doing a vendor catalog load;
- isn't that right? 22
- Well, there's a program called vendor catalog load that 23
- can be used to upload items provided from a vendor. 24
- 25 And it's called vendor catalog load?

A Uh --

- 2 Q Yes or no, sir? Can you fairly answer that question?
- 3 A I believe it's yes.
- 4 Q Thank you. So this Lawson S3 procurement product has the
- 5 ability to permit users to create and pull in catalog items
- 6 such as vendor items, price agreements, and contracts in order
- 7 to populate that item master; isn't that right?
- 8 A Yes. You can bring in vendor items into the item master
- 9 and prices into vendor agreements.
- 10 Q This vendor catalog load is performed using the purchase
- 11 order module that's part of the core functionality of the S3
- 12 procurement product; right?
- 13 A Yes, uses the purchase order module.
- 14 Q You spoke about this Lawson EDI application which stands
- 15 | for this electronic data interchange where you can retrieve
- 16 certain information with respect to an item from the vendor;
- 17 correct?
- 18 A Yes, I did speak about the EDI.
- 19 Q So through this EDI application, Lawson provides
- 20 connections to product vendors to allow vendors to make item
- 21 data available to users of its S3 procurement product; correct?
- 22  $\parallel$  A It's used to support the 832 transaction set.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q So would the answer to my question then be yes?
- 24 A Yes, it supports the 832.
- 25 | Q That's not my question. My question is, does it provide

1006

responsibilities, but I've seen this as part of this case. 1 2 You were asked some questions with respect to this 3 document; do you recall that? 4 I believe I was, yes. 5 And this is called -- document is entitled design analysis, and the title is vendor catalog load; correct? 6 7 That's correct. 8 And is it fair to say this document generally discusses 9 the vendor catalog load process as part of Lawson's S3 10 procurement system? 11 Yeah. From my understanding of the document, it describes 12 the design that became that vendor import agreement load 13 program. 14 Under the heading requirement, do you see that? The first sentence states, there is a need to automatically load vendor 15 16 item information into the Lawson system. Did I read that 17 correctly? 18 Yes, you did. 19 This requirement still exists in the current version of 20 the Lawson S3 procurement product; correct? 21 Yes, customers still need to load item information that they've received from the vendor. 22 23 The next sentence states, this data could be a vendor 24 catalog which contains information about all the items that a

vendor carries, or it could be items that are included in a

Α

Yes.

1007

specially negotiated contract between the vendor and a single 1 2 client or group purchasing organization; did I read that 3 correctly? 4 Yes, you did. Α 5 That requirement still exists in the current version of the Lawson S3 procurement product; correct? 6 7 Yes. You could load all items or a set of items, but most 8 of our customers just load the set of items that they want to 9 purchase. 10 In order to be able for a user to obtain this information 11 of catalog content, that can be loaded into the item master; 12 isn't that right? I'm sorry. I didn't quite follow the question. 13 14 The item master is where that catalog content information can be loaded in order to be available to a customer? 15 The item information from the vendors is loaded into the 16 item master. 17 18 I'm asking about the catalog content that's referenced 19 here, sir, that can be loaded into the item master; yes or no? 20 Α Yes. 21 The next sentence states, the purpose of this document is to define a new process that will read a vendor supply file 22 items and add the items to the item master and the item's price 23 to the vendor agreement file. Did I read that right? 24

Q And that's still true of the Lawson S3 procurement product today; right?

A Yes.

3

8

9

10

17

- Q The next sentence states, it -- and "it" do you understand to mean this vendor catalog load process?
- 6 A The process as designed in this design, that's what I understand it to be.
  - Q States, needs to give the Lawson customer the ability to identify which vendor items should be loaded into the Lawson system and which are not needed; do you see that?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q That's still correct of the system today; right?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Under the heading process, if we could enlarge that and highlight it. The first statement, sentence there states, quote, the process for loading vendor catalog data into the

Lawson system will be performed in multiple steps; correct?

- 18 A Yes.
- Q And that process for loading catalog data is still performed in multiple steps to this day; right?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q The next sentence states, the first step will be to obtain the vendor catalog information; isn't that right?
- 24 A That's what it says, yes.
- 25 Q Yes. And obtaining the vendor catalog information is

1010

1 A Yes, for certain vendors.

2 Q I'd like to talk to you a little bit about the

3 requisitions module if we could.

- A Okay.
- 5 Q That's part of the core three modules we talked about for
- 6 the S3 procurement product; right?
- 7 A Yes.

- 8 Q Isn't it true that more often than not Lawson's customers
- 9 in the public sector have some sort of requisition module or
- 10 application they've acquired from Lawson?
- 11 A I believe that's correct.
- 12 | Q Let's talk a little bit about requisition self-service for
- 13 | a moment if we could, sir. Would it be fair to say that the
- 14 requisition self-service application is intended to be
- 15 user-friendly?
- 16 A That is the intent.
- 17 Q That's one of its goals; right?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q This requisition self-service application that Lawson
- 20 offers, that provides the ability of hundreds, perhaps even
- 21 thousands of individuals at a customer to have access to this
- 22 procurement capability at their desktop or laptop PC; correct?
- 23 A Yes, for the requisitioning capability.
- 24 Q Isn't it, in fact, how Lawson markets this requisition
- 25 self-service application, by saying, in effect, you can now

distribute that capability to many of your employees to have the ability to search for matching items, build requisitions, and generate multiple purchase orders; correct?

- A We market it as a way for them to search those items and create requisitions.
- Q And then you need the other modules in order to do the -generate the requisition and purchase orders and complete the
  transaction; correct?
- A Right. You need the purchase order to generate the purchase.

THE COURT: Excuse me just a minute. If a vendor with whom the customer has a need to interact has a large list of items, and each one of these people over here of these tables, the lawyers, they are different customers or different employees of the customer, could Mr. Carr, one of those people over here, have one segment of the vendor items available to him because he's in department A, and Mr. McDonald have another segment of the same vendor's items but not the same ones because he's in department B, et cetera? Could that be done in this system?

THE WITNESS: In that example, we would load all the items into the item master, and then certain departments, you may restrict who has access to certain items in the item master so that an individual in a particular department may not be able to buy, say, computers, for example. So you could set

1014

So this procurement punchout software we've been talking 1 Q 2 about, you market that as an application; isn't that right? 3 Yes, we do. 4 And I understand you to say that if you want to have 5 procurement punchout, you need to have the requisition self-service application; right? 6 7 Yes, you do. 8 But procurement punchout can't be used alone; is that 9 right? 10 It can't be used standalone. 11 So you have to have the requisition self-service and those 12 other three core modules we've been talking about, inventory 13 control, purchase order, and requisitions; right? 14 Α Yes. 15 And a way for a user of this Lawson S3 product we've been 16 talking about to access data with respect to items being 17 offered by a vendor through this punchout application, users 18 can go to a vendor's specially prepared website, see what items 19 are available for sale, bring that item data back, import it into the requisition self-service user interface, and then move 20 21 forward with the purchase process; isn't that right? Sorry. Could you repeat that? I'm not sure if I caught 22 the beginning. 23 24 Let me rephrase it. Using this Lawson procurement

punchout application, you can go to a specially prepared

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vendor's website, see what items are available for sale, bring that item data back, import it into the Lawson requisition self-service user interface, and then move forward with the purchase process; correct? Yes. You can bring the items that you selected in checkout and bring that back into requisitions. With this procurement punchout application, you can do this serially? By that I mean one after another after another by just going to these different Lawson punchout trading partners in order to look at items and then import the data back into the requisition self-service; correct? Yes, you can go to a vendor website that's been set up for the punchout. So I can go to Dell's website that's been set up through Lawson, and then I can go to Staples, and then I can go to Hewlett Packard assuming all those websites are acting as a trading partner or punchout partner with Lawson; right? Well, you would have -- they would have to be a trading partner with our customer. So it doesn't have to be with Lawson. But assuming that they are a trading partner with your customer, if your customer asks you to set up that capability, Lawson does that as part of the services it provides; right? If our customer requests us to configure that, we would configure it.

1 THE COURT: Do most of the customers ask you to do 2 that? 3 THE WITNESS: Um, most of our customers might discuss 4 to set up the very first one, and then our customers set up all 5 subsequent trading partners. They learn how to do it themselves because it's a matter of configuration of the 6 7 application. 8 THE COURT: You teach them how to do it, in other words? 9 10 THE WITNESS: We would --11 THE COURT: Show them how to do it. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 You provide them manuals and guides to teach them on that; right? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 And you provide training services that would help them, assist them in that process; isn't that right? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 And Lawson charges fees for all those things; right? For the training, and documentation is included with the 20 21 software license. 22 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Documentation is included with the software license so you don't charge separately for 23 24 that, but you do charge separately for the training; is that what you are saying? 25

1019

The Lawson system foundation is part of the core 1 Q 2 technology, correct, in order to have procurement operate, 3 functional? 4 Yes. Α 5 Let's talk a little about this punchout part of the 6 program if we could. In order to assist Lawson's customers 7 with obtaining vendor information with respect to items that 8 are being offered for sale, Lawson establishes partnerships with third-party vendors such that the Lawson system can punch 9 10 out to those vendors; isn't that right? 11 Sorry, could you repeat that, the question? In order to assist its customers with obtaining vendor 12 13 information with respect to items that are being offered for sale, Lawson established partnerships with third-party vendors? 14 Yes, we've established partnerships for punchout to assure 15 16 that people can punch out to those vendors' websites. 17 And Lawson refers to these third-party vendors as punchout 18 partners; correct? 19 We refer to them as punchout trading partners. And Lawson enters into agreements with some of these 20 21 Punchout trading partners; correct? 22 Α Yes. Others you have long-standing relationships with? 23 Q 24 Α Sorry? 25 Other of these punchout trading partners you have

long-standing relationships with; right?

A Right. Others have been supported for a number of years.

THE COURT: Excuse me just a second. Earlier I thought you said, and I'm not suggesting that you said anything deliberately at odds or even maybe not at odds at all, so I'm asking the question.

I thought you said that the customers, Lawson's customers had to execute the contracts with the trading partners, the punchout partners, and then you just said that Lawson has contracts with the trading, punchout trading partners. Are there two different contracts that are involved?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. There are two different contracts that are involved.

THE COURT: What does the contract between Lawson and the punchout partner, basically what does it arrange for?

THE WITNESS: It arranged for the testing of the communication between procurement punchout and the vendor's maintained website. We make sure there's really the handshake so that if a customer wants to use our software with that third party, we'll test it to make sure that it works and that when they check out, that the items they've selected were able to bring them back into our software, and so it covers that initial testing and then ongoing maintenance of that.

THE COURT: And then the customer's contract with the punchout partner, what generally does it cover?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
be -- I have a relationship with Dell and Hewlett-Packard and
Compag and IBM, Staples, Office Max, Office Depot, and I want
you to facilitate and set up those communication protocols you
talked about, that handshake I think you referred to it, Lawson
in fact does that; right?
     If the customer --
Α
     Can you answer that question fairly yes or no, sir?
          THE COURT: Wait a minute. Do you understand the
question, Mr. Lohkamp?
          THE WITNESS: If you could repeat the question.
    You mentioned these communication protocols, this
handshake I think is how you referred to it in response to the
Court's questions with regard to how these -- the relationships
are set out. The user tells Lawson which trading partners it
wants Lawson to create that communication with; isn't that
right?
     If they've asked us to set it up as part of the services.
     If they want punchout partners, and you provided them with
this procurement punchout application and they come to you,
that's a service that you provide in order to make this
punchout capability work; isn't that right?
     Yes, yes, we can provide the service.
    And, in fact, you do provide that service; right?
Α
    Yes, we do.
    And these punchout partners need to renew their agreements
```

```
with Lawson annually; isn't that right?
 1
          For the ones we've signed agreements with, yes.
 2
     Α
 3
          And you also work with some punchout trading partners that
 4
     you haven't signed agreements with; is that right?
 5
     Α
          Yes.
 6
          But you still have to set up these same communication
 7
     protocols notwithstanding that there's no formal written
 8
     agreement; right?
          If the customer asks us to do it.
 9
10
          And you'll do it?
     Q
11
     Α
          Yes.
12
          And you have done it?
13
     Α
          Yes.
14
          And in order to facilitate access to this punchout trading
15
     partner item data, it's Lawson's services team that configures
16
     within the procurement punchout application to make those
17
     trading partners accessible to customers; isn't that right?
18
          Yes, if the customer has asked us to do that.
     Α
19
          And customers ask you to do that, don't they?
20
     Α
          Yes.
21
          And you do it, don't you?
     Q
22
     Α
          Yes, we do.
23
          And this configuration that you're talking about, this
24
     handshake involves setting up logging credentials and
25
     information, other information in order to get to that
```

25

available to the customer instead of having the customer having 2 the software operating on its own servers. You are familiar 3 with that; right? 4 Yes, to some degree. 5 So where Lawson provides this managed or hosted 6 procurement capability, this service, the user is actually 7 accessing the Lawson system over the internet; isn't that 8 right? 9 Yes. They access that over a secured connection to the 10 hosting computers. 11 Why do you want it to be secure? 12 So that other people don't have access to that data. You 13 only want our customers to be able to log in and access that 14 data. 15 And so the customer doesn't actually have to have this 16 procurement software operating on its internal system, it just 17 accesses the system, a secure system that Lawson is operating 18 that makes it available so they can purchase items from 19 multiple vendors; isn't that right? I'm not sure I completely understand the question. Could 20 21 you repeat that? 22 Sure. Customers don't actually have to have the Lawson 23 software operating on its internal system, its servers; it can 24 just access the procurement system that Lawson is operating and

make that available to them so they can purchase items from

1038

multiple vendors? 1 Yes. Our customers don't have to have it operating on 2 3 their own servers. They can access a hosted set of procurement 4 applications. 5 And they can perform these purchasing functions we've been talking about for multiple vendors, can't they? 6 7 They can perform the purchasing functions and order from 8 multiple vendors. And this hosting operation that Lawson conducts also 9 10 includes procurement punchout; isn't that right? 11 It is an option for our customers to use procurement 12 punchout. 13 So a customer might prefer to have Lawson host the procurement software as opposed to having it on their own 14 system so they would not have to manage the servers or update 15 16 the applications; isn't that right? 17 That's right. Α 18 One of the services Lawson provides with respect to this S3 procurement product we've been talking about is 19 installation; is that right? 20 21 That's right. Α And you charge for installation, don't you? 22 23 Yes, we do. Α 24 And isn't it true that Lawson's customers choose to have

Lawson consultants perform the installation or implementation

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1040

that product if they choose Lawson. Can you tell me in your experience what percentage of Lawson's customers who are implementing a supply chain management solution select Lawson to do -- conduct that implementation or installation, and your answer, I don't know that percentage. My follow-up question was, is it more often than not. Your answer was, yes. Did I read that correctly? Yes, you did. Okay. Thank you. Lawson also charges for the service of transferring item data from what's called a legacy system into the Lawson S3 procurement system; isn't that right? Yes. And from time to time, Lawson consultants actually visit with customers to conduct training sessions for this S3 product; right? Yes. And Lawson charges fees for that service as well; right? Α Yes. And Lawson provides learning tools to its customers with respect to its S3 procurement product; correct? Α Yes. One of these learning tools is an online classroom training for its customers; right? Α Yes.

Let's talk a little bit about maintenance as a service.

right?

1041

If a Lawson user is having a problem with their particular 1 product and they want to go and access a guide that might 2 3 assist them in working out some of their issues, does Lawson 4 make that type of assistance available to its customers online? 5 Yes. Α And Lawson charges for that type of service as well; 6 7 correct? 8 The charge is part of the maintenance agreement. 9 Does everyone need a maintenance agreement when they 10 license these products? 11 Yes, if they want to have updates. By updates, you mean periodically the product may have new 12 13 features or functionalities or fixes of bugs in the system, and Lawson provides those upgrades for a fee as part of 14 maintenance; is that right? 15 16 We provide that -- as part of their maintenance agreement, they get the fixes and the upgrades. 17 18 So as part of the maintenance agreement, everybody gets 19 these upgrades; right? 20 For the products they own, yes. 21 That's why they have to pay the maintenance fee? 22 Α Yes. And the maintenance fee, just to be clear, is separate 23 from the licensing fee for actually getting the software; 24

1042

Α Yes.

- 2 And the services, those fees, they are separate from the
- 3 maintenance fee; right?
- 4 Yes, they are. Α
- 5 Lawson enjoys revenues from both licensing, maintenance,
- and from servicing; correct? 6
- 7 Correct.
- 8 Of those three categories of revenues that Lawson enjoys
- 9 proceeds, payments, licensing is, in fact, the smallest; isn't
- 10 it?
- 11 I'm not a hundred percent sure. I think it is.
- 12 So -- let's -- are you sure of this: If I add services
- 13 and maintenance, in every instance that's going to be larger
- than the licensing fee? 14
- 15 Every time an individual customer or for Lawson as a
- 16 company as a whole? I want to make sure I understand what you
- 17 are asking.
- 18 Let me clarify. Let's talk about first Lawson as a
- 19 company as a whole for all of its software solutions.
- 20 Maintenance and servicing revenues together are always larger
- 21 than licensing revenues for the company as a whole; correct?
- That's my understanding, yes. 22
- 23 For this S3 procurement application we're talking about,
- the same holds true; right? 24
- 25 Α Yes.

(The jury is present.) 1 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Mr. Lohkamp, I just want to get back to that 4 question I was asking you about that hosting service 5 that is Lawson will provide. The hosting of that is 6 at a Lawson facility; is that correct? 7 My understanding is it's at a third party 8 9 facility. A third party facility that is being leased by 10 Lawson for hosting those servers that have the 11 12 operational software? 13 We are leasing space at the third party hosting site. 14 15 It's Lawson's servers that are operating the 16 hosting service? I don't know exactly how that's structured. 17 You have a rather large binder next to you right 18 19 there on the left-hand corner of the table. 20 Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 118. I just want to ask you a few questions about that, if we could. 21 22 If you will flip through quickly, you will see 23 that that binder is just one Lawson response to a 24 request for a proposal for Cherry Creek Schools; do 25 you see that?

```
opportunity for the parties to -- now we're talking about the
 1
 2
     parties there are Lawson and its punchout trading partner;
 3
     right? That's your understanding?
 4
          Yes.
     Α
 5
          For the parties to facilitate the use of their respective
 6
     products by entering into a relationship that will
 7
     facilitate -- now, this is the first intent of the parties;
 8
     right? The development of the appropriate interfaces or
     punchout between Lawson products and the partner's website; do
 9
10
     you see that?
11
          Yes, I do.
12
          So the parties were going to jointly develop the
13
     interfaces, the appropriate interfaces in order to do this
     punchout between Lawson products and the partner website;
14
     right? That's number one intention; correct?
15
16
          Yes.
17
          Okay. Number two is the performance of joint marketing
18
     activities; right? You use the word "joint" there; correct?
19
     Α
          Correct.
          Now, paragraph three about this licensing ^ in sport all
20
21
     it says here, each party shall contract for its own products
     and services directly with the customers; do you see that?
22
23
          (No response.)
     Α
          Your reference to that?
24
25
     Α
          Yes.
```

Yes.

25

1150

But the intent of this agreement then is not the 1 Q 2 relationship that Lawson might have with its customer or the 3 punchout trading partner might have with its customer, the intent of this agreement is how you formulate your joint 4 5 marketing activities for your mutual benefit; isn't that right? It is for the joint agreement with them. 6 Α 7 To your mutual benefit, sir; right? 8 Α Yes. 9 Lawson does specify the format for how the item data needs 10 to come back from the punchout catalog to the RSS shopping cart; isn't that right? 11 12 We specify the format, the standard. 13 So the answer to my question is yes; right? 14 Α Yes. 15 And if the customer using the Lawson software wants to get 16 to a punchout trading partner website, whether they be under 17 agreement or not under agreement, it needs the Lawson punchout 18 application; isn't that right? 19 To use punchout to that vendor website. 20 They can't get there without the procurement punchout 21 application; right? 22 Α Yeah. Using our software, yeah. That's how they do it? 23 0 24 Α

You were asked questions about how many punchout products

```
1052
                      LOHKAMP - DIRECT
        Yes, we answered F.
 1
 2
        That's all I have of that document, sir.
 3
        I'd like you to go to Exhibit No. 215 now if I
    could, plaintiff's exhibit.
4
        I'm sorry?
 5
    Α
 6
             THE COURT: It's not in the notebook, is it?
7
             MR. ROBERTSON: No, Your Honor. That was my
    mistake, but he does have it now.
8
9
        Well, do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 215 now,
10
    sir?
11
    Α
        Yes.
12
        Now, again, this is a Lawson authored document,
13
    correct?
       Yes, it looks like it.
14
15
        And it looks like it's a response to Jackson
16
    Health Care System; correct?
17
    Α
        Yes.
        And if you'd go to the executive summary again,
18
19
    there's a mention of Lawson's ERP systems, which
20
    include this procurement software we've been talking
21
    about, correct? That falls under the heading of
    electronic -- what's called enterprise resource
22
23
    planning solutions?
24
        Yes. ERP would include purchasing.
25
        If you go to the page that ends with the Bates
```

LOHKAMP - DIRECT 1054

- vendors to the JHS item catalogs with updates to
- 2 existing items only. Do you see that?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q JHS is this Jackson Health System, a potential
- 5 customer, right?
- 6 A I believe that's what it stands for.
- 7 Q What did Lawson represent to Jackson Health System
- 8 with respect to whether its procurement system had
- 9 that capability?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | Q The next line down says, "Ability to update our
- 12 | catalogs with external vendor provided files." Do you
- 13 see that?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q What did Lawson represent to the Jackson Health
- 16 | Care system as to its procurement software capability?
- 17 A We indicated yes.
- 18 Q If you'll turn to the next page still under this
- 19 functional capability column, there's a subheading
- 20 | there that says, "Expanded item searched by," do you
- 21 see that?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q With respect to whether or not the Lawson
- 24 procurement solution offered to Jackson Health System
- 25 | had the ability to do a search by vendor catalog

LOHKAMP - DIRECT 1055

- 1 | number, what did Lawson answer?
- 2 A Yes.
- 4 | it could do a search by a hospital specific code?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q What did Lawson answer when it was asked whether
- 7 | it could do a partial description of an item, for
- 8 example, wild card, contains, etc.?
- 9 A We answered yes.
- 10 | Q What did Lawson answer when it was asked by this
- 11 health system whether or not it could do searches by
- 12 | manufacturer catalog number?
- 13 A We answered yes.
- 14 ∥ Q What did Lawson answer when it was asked whether
- 15 | it could search by classification code?
- 16 A We answered yes.
- 17 | Q What did Lawson answer when it was asked whether
- 18 | it could search by a vendor name?
- 19 A We answered yes.
- 20 Q What did Lawson answer when it was asked whether
- 21 | it could search by a manufacturer's name?
- 22 A We answered yes.
- 23 | Q The next category said whether or not it could
- 24 | answer questions with respect to item availability.
- 25 Do you see that where it says, "currently available"?